

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/719,422	FURUTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733

All Participants:

Status of Application: Rejected

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(3) _____.

(2) John Shin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner indicated that the after-final amendment would not be entered, and suggested a declaration showing that the adhesive of Senoo is not adhesive at 180C as argued by applicant.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)